Palm Bay Police Department

Q1 2021

Professional Standards Unit Annual Report

Chief Nelson Moya



Table of Contents

Internal Investigations	Page 3
Response to Resistance	Page 4
Performance Assessment and Review System	Page 5
Vehicle Pursuits	Page 6
Traffic Accidents	Page 6
Biased-Based Policing	Page 6
Traffic Stop Demographic Data	Page 6
Forfeiture and Seizure Data	Page 6
Conclusion	Page 6

Internal Investigations

The Agency has maintained procedures to implement discipline in cases where an employee is negligent in their duties or engages in misconduct.

Internal Investigations are formal investigations conducted by the Internal Affairs Unit on complaints which allege serious violations of policy regulations, procedures, criminal acts or corruption or consideration of progressive discipline which would result in employee suspension or greater if sustained. If it is possible, a sworn statement from the complainant will be obtained. Only the Chief or his designee can authorize an Internal Investigation.

The Internal Affairs Unit will forward the results of an Internal Investigation to the Chief with a written recommendation as to find the case with one of these outcomes:

- **Sustained** The allegation has been investigated and the facts show that the allegation is true, and the action taken was not consistent with agency policy.
- **Not Sustained** The allegation has been investigated and there is insufficient proof to confirm or refute the allegation.
- **Unfounded** The allegation has been investigated and either the allegation is demonstrably false or there is no credible evidence to support it.
- Exonerated The allegation has been investigated and the facts indicate that the action taken was consistent with agency policy or a violation of policy has occurred but was justified due to exigent circumstances.

The chart below shows the internal investigations that were open in the 1st Quarter 2021 and the final disposition.

CASE NUMBER	COMPLAINT TYPE	FINAL DISPOSITION
II2021-001	Domestic Disturbance	Not Sustained

Division Inquiries are complaints, which allege minor violations or improper use of procedure. DIs are informal inquiries conducted by the employee's chain of command and do not involve the administration of corrective action greater than a written letter of counseling. Only the Chief or his designee can authorize a DI. Divisional Inquiries have the same disposition categories as Internal Investigations.

Below is a chart of the Divisional Inquiries for the 1st Quarter 2021.

CASE NUMBER	COMPLAINT TYPE	FINAL DISPOSITION
DI2021-001	Lost Equipment	Sustained
DI2021-002	Vehicle Operations	Sustained
DI2021-003	Courtesy Towards All	Not Sustained
DI2021-004	Vehicle Operation	Not Sustained
DI2021-005	Vehicle Operation	Sustained
DI2021-006	Use of Equipment	Sustained

Response To Resistance

Officers should always try to resolve a situation with the least amount of force necessary. Command presence and verbal communication often will defuse many volatile situations. Sometimes, however, these are not enough, or officers may not have an opportunity to use them. Officers need not apply force in gradually increasing steps to justify physical control or even deadly force. Instead, officers need to respond with all the force reasonably necessary for the circumstances in each specific situation.

Any time an officer uses force on a subject they are required to complete a response to resistance form which outlines the type of force used, the purpose for the use of force, subject's resistance level and a review by the supervisor. This form is then forwarded to the Internal Affairs Sergeant, the information is then used to identify trends and patterns of activity to determine any future training needs for Department members. This data is also used in preparation of the department's Use of Force Report, with prior year's data being displayed for comparison.

There were 31 Response to Resistance reports in Q1 2021, the following are breakdowns by race, gender, and age of subjects.

Race	White	Black	Hispanic
Total	14	12	5
Percentage	45%	39%	16%

Gender	Male	Female	
Total	25	6	
Percentage	81%	19%	

Age	Male	Female
Under 18	4	0
18-28	9	3
29-38	3	1
39-50	5	1
Over 50	4	1

The following is a breakdown of the types of resistance encountered and the force used in the 31 total reports documented in Q1 2021.

Level of Resistance	Passive	Active	Aggressive	Deadly
Total	2	20	7	2
Percentage	6%	65%	23%	6%

The following breakdown is the force type used in response to resistance for the 31 responses to resistance reports for Q1 2021. These options include physical control measures, non-lethal weapons, or deadly force.

Force Type	Total
Physical Control	23
Canine	0
Taser	12
TARP*	4

* TARP stands for tactical appendage restraint packaging; this procedure can be used to secure violent prisoners or violent mentally ill persons from hurting themselves or damaging the transport vehicle. The procedure incorporates the use of handcuffs, shackles, headgear, and rope to effectively immobilize violent people while being transported. TARP of a prisoner must be approved by a field supervisor and must be documented with a Response to Resistance Report. There were 1 "TARP USE ONLY" as no other force was used beyond restraining uncooperative subjects. This count was not factored in the above-mentioned Use of Force.

All 31 incidents reported in Q1 2021 were found to be within agency policy.

Officer response levels consisted mainly of Physical Control (23) and Taser use (12).

There were 2 Deadly Force incidents during Q1 2021.

- On 3/7/2021 Officers exchanged gunfire with a suspect who eventually was apprehended (no one was hurt during this incident).
- On 3/14/2021 Officers exchanged gunfire with a suspect who is now deceased (officers were not hurt during this incident).

Response to Resistance Quarterly Comparison

Qtr.	White	%	Black	%	Hispanic	%	Total
2020 4	11	42%	13	50%	2	8%	26
2020 3	15	56%	9	33%	3	11%	27
2020 2	14	44%	14	44%	4	12%	32
2020 1	14	70%	5	25%	1	5%	20
2019 4	19	54%	14	40%	2	6%	35
2019 3	22	65%	7	21%	5	14%	34
2019 2	19	63%	11	37%	0	0%	30
2019 1	11	58%	7	37%	1	5%	19

P.A.R.S. (Performance Assessment and Review System)

The Performance Assessment and Review System (PARS) is designed to reflect the Agency's commitment to the integrity and accountability of its employees. The system is established to track and review incidents or behavior, as defined by the Agency, of risk to the agency and the involved employee. This system has been implemented to identify and assess employee performance involved in potential risk incidents and intervene when appropriate. The goal of intervention is non-disciplinary but is to formulate an action plan to assist the employee to improve performance and/or correct problems identified by the Agency, usually through counseling or training.

There were 18 officers who met the PARS threshold criteria in Q1 2021. 2 of those officers met that threshold criteria more than once.

There were no action plans during **Q1 2021** under G.O. 224. Pursuant to reviews with direct supervisors of the involved officers, no patterns or concerns were discovered that would warrant additional action.

Vehicle Pursuits

There was 1 vehicle pursuit in Q1 2021, an administrative review is still under review.

Traffic Accidents

There were 6 officer involved vehicle accidents in Q1 2021, 0 of those involved injuries and 2 of the reported accidents were preventable which resulted in a sustained Division Inquiries finding the officers at fault.

Biased Policing Complaints

There were no complaints of biased policing made to the Internal Affairs Unit in Q1 2021.

Traffic Stop Demographic Data

In Q1 2021, officers conducted 2,607 traffic stops. 420 citations were written (16%) and 2,187 warnings were issued (84%).

The following chart is a comparison of traffic stops by race and whether they were issued a ticket or warning.

Race	Total Stops	Citations	Warnings	Percentage of Warnings
White	1,553	258	1,295	83%
Black	730	111	619	85%
Hispanic	301	48	253	84%
Asian	21	3	18	86%
Other	2	0	2	100%

Asset Forfeiture and Seizure Data

There were 2 seizures in Q1 2021. Currency was seized in 1 incident with a total of \$1,584 and vehicles were seized in 1 incident. In no incidents did a combination of currency and vehicles seized together occurred.

The following chart is a comparison of subjects involved in the seizures by race.

Race	Total	Percentage
White	0	0%
Black	1	50%
Hispanic	1	50%
Other	0	0%

This concludes the Q1 2021 Report.